# USDA FOREST SERVICE RESEARCH NOTE NE-181

### 1973

# Northeastern Forest Experiment Station



FOREST SERVICE, U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE, 6816 MARKET STREET, UPPER DARBY, PA. 19082

## SPECIES AND STRUCTURE OF A VIRGIN NORTHERN HARDWOOD STAND IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

Abstract. — Virgin northern hardwoods in the Bowl, a natural area in the White Mountain National Forest in New Hampshire, exhibit a limited number of species, large sizes in all key species except beech, a full understory, and a well-developed diameter distribution.

Nearly all forest stands in the Northeast have been cut over at least once in the past. However, during the last few years, groups interested in natural resources have been trying to locate and permanently protect the few remaining virgin stands—mature forest stands that have never been logged nor heavily disturbed by natural catastrophe. This effort is in recognition of the scientific and esthetic value of these areas. Virgin stands provide a basis for evaluating innate species characteristics, tracing successional trends, and weighing the influence of management or disturbance.

One important virgin forest area is the Bowl, a 206-hectare (510-acre) natural area in the White Mountain National Forest (Lyon and Bormann 1962). The Bowl contains virgin stands of both spruce-fir and northern hardwoods or beech-birch-maple. In the summer of 1972, I inventoried one of the northern hardwood stands. The record provides a description of the unique character of the vegetation as compared to that of cutover stands.

The stand is an 18-hectare (44-acre) tract of northern hardwoods in the southeast corner of the Bowl. The aspect is east to northeast. Elevation ranges from 580 to 640 meters above sea level. The soil is Berkshire, a welldrained, fine, sandy loam.

Fifteen plot locations were systematically established in the stand. Eleven of these plot locations fell in typical northern hardwoods well within the stand borders. Four plots fell near the transition between hardwoods and spruce-fir at the upper elevations of the study area. At each plot location, the diameter above the root collar (above root swell) and the species were recorded for all trees 100 mm and larger on a 1/10-hectare plot, all woody stems 10 to 99 mm on a 1/100-hectare plot, and all woody stems of 1 year old to 9 mm on four 1/10.000-hectare plots. Ten humus-depth measurements (H layer, excluding L and F layers) were made per plot location, using a sharp tube sampler. Slope percent was estimated at each plot location with a clinometer.

Slope percent varied in the stand from 11 to 52 percent and averaged 34 percent. Humus depth on the 11 plots well within the stand was negatively related to slope percent with a fair degree of accuracy (r = .48). However, average humus depths on the four plots near



the hardwood-softwood transition were considerably above the regression line (fig. 1), possibly because of the influence of the slightly higher softwood component.

A stand table for the Bowl was compared with a table based on two plots in compartment 26 on the Bartlett Experimental Forest, New Hampshire (table 1). Compartment 26 has been reserved as a natural area of oldgrowth northern hardwoods — a stand that was high-graded for the best softwoods and hardwoods in the late 1800s and has remained uncut since that time. Elevation is about 335 meters; aspect and soils are similar to those of the Bowl.

The Bowl stand contains a relatively small number of species: beech, yellow birch, sugar maple, red spruce, balsam fir, striped maple, mountain maple, and hobblebush are well represented. Red maple, paper birch, white ash, hemlock, and yew are noticeably absent as compared with the compartment 26 stand. An analysis of age distribution indicates that spruce, fir, and yellow birch also are decreasing in the typical hardwood portion of the Bowl stand.

This limited number of species is further emphasized by comparison with the species composition (based on basal area) of a range of stands on the Bartlett Experimental Forest, representing both old-growth and secondgrowth (stands clearcut in the late 1800s) (table 2).

Table 1 reveals the fairly large sizes attained by yellow birch, sugar maple, spruce, striped maple, and mountain maple in the virgin Bowl stand. Beech, on the other hand, does not grow very large in the Bowl even though it is an abundant, stable, and longlived (250 years) resident.

All species considered together, the diameter distributions of the Bowl stand and the compartment 26 stand are similar. If we ignore the very small stems (0 to 9 mm), the understory in the Bowl is at least as dense as that of compartment 26 - a departure from the parklike conditions sometimes associated with virgin stands.

sp	
ъ	
Q	
- Q	
≥	
6	
- 5	
2	
-	
2	
- 5	
φ	
÷	
Т	
Ö	
2	
+	
≥	
ō	
_ <u>c</u>	
- Q	)
-	
~	
0	
2	
1	
0	
-	•
S	
~	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
¢	
,ć	
1	
$\sim$	•
_0	
ō	
Q	
_0	
_ ≩	
6	
Ľ,	
Ö	
4	
-	
2	
ā	
ž	
t	
ō	
ž	
_	
.S	
ō	)
.S	
>	
2	
for v	
) for v	
e) for v	
ire) for v	
are) for v	
ctare) for v	
ectare) for v	
hectare) for v	
- hectare) for v	
er hectare) for v	
oer hectare) for v	
per hectare) for v	
rs per hectare) for v	
ers per hectare) for v	
bers per hectare) for v	
nbers per hectare) for v	
imbers per hectare) for v	•
rumbers per hectare) for v	
(numbers per hectare) for v	
s (numbers per hectare) for v	
es (numbers per hectare) for v	•
vles (numbers per hectare) for v	-
bles (numbers per hectare) for v	-
'ables (numbers per hectare) for v	-
' tables (numbers per hectare) for v	- -
d tables (numbers per hectare) for v	
nd tables (numbers per hectare) for v	-
and tables (numbers per hectare) for v	
stand tables (numbers per hectare) for v	
-Stand tables (numbers per hectare) for v	
—Stand tables (numbers per hectare) for v	-
.—Stand tables (numbers per hectare) for v	
1.—Stand tables (numbers per hectare) for v	
I.—Stand tables (numbers per hectare) for v	
le 1.—Stand tables (numbers per hectare) for v	•
ble 1.—Stand tables (numbers per hectare) for v	-
able 1.—Stand tables (numbers per hectare) for v	
Table 1.—Stand tables (numbers per hectare) for v	

	Total		60,335	8,086	310	104	61	45	30	œ	4	က	1		197,564	6,316	290	100	85	55	40	2	Ð		1
	Service berry		I	١		ļ				1			ł		357			1	I	١	I	1		1	1
	Yew		۱	I	I		1	1	I	1	I	I	I		3,213	1	I	I	I	I	1	1		1	I
	Scarlet elder		0	7	1	1	I			1			I			1	l	1	1	I	1	1			1
	n Hobble- bush		9,667	2,120	1	1	I	I		1			I		92,963	679		1	1	I	1	1	ļ		1
	Mountair maple		2,833	453	ი	I	I	l	]	١			1		1	[		1		ļ	I	1		1	I
Forest)	Striped 1 maple		4,667	993	76	8	1		1				1	<b>3</b> )	9,282	730	õ		I	I	I		I	1	I
rimental	Balsam fir	OTS)	167	20	0	0	1	I	١	I	I	I	١	PLOT	1	83	1	1		۱	I	I	I	l	
rtlett Exper	Eastern hemlock	'L (15 PL			I	1	l	I	I	I	1	I	1	NT 26 (2	714	248	6	15	I	Ω		]	1	1	-
nt 26, Ba	Red spruce	E BOW	167	254	7	5	ŝ	ი	-		1	١	I	ARTME	714	169	5 2	0	ŝ	0	I		I	1	]
mpartmei	White ash	TH	I	ł		1		I	1		ļ		ļ	COMP	17,493	1	õ	10	5 2	10	ŝ	1	Ð	1	1
°C)	Paper birch			I	1	1		1	1	I	I	I	I		I	l	ŋ	1		ഹ	10	1	I	I	1
	Red maple			I	1	1	I	l	1		1	I	l		34,272	0	15	35	30	20	1	1	1	I	1
	Sugar maple		37,667	886	24	11	15	23	15	4	-	-	I		27,489	122	15	15	I	I	ŝ		I		Ι
	Yellow birch		I	20	6	17	17	10	11	ი	ი	0	1		2,499	1	20	õ	10	ņ	10	I	1	I	1
	Beech		5,167	3,333	188	61	24	ი	ი	I	I	I	I		8,568	4,195	130	20	35	10	10	S	1	I	1
	Diameter (mm)		6-0	10-99	100-199	200-299	300-399	400-499	500-599	669-009	200-799	800-899	900-949		6-0	10-99	100-199	200-299	300-399	400-499	500-599	669-009	200-799	800-899	900-949

 Table 2.—Species composition of old-growth and second-growth northern hardwoods in percent of basal area, trees over 114 mm (4.5 inches) d.b.h. (Filip et al 1960; Leak 1961)

Stand condition	Beech	Yellow birch	Sugar maple	Red maple	Paper birch	White ash	Red spruce	Eastern hemlock	Others
Well-stocked second-growth Old-growth	21 41	11 13	7 12	30 13	11 4	8 2	1 3	11 12	_

In summary, the virgin northern hardwoods in the Bowl are characterized by a limited number of species, fairly large sizes in all key species except beech, a full understory, and a well-developed diameter distribution similar to that found in old-growth northern hardwoods. This information may prove useful as a basis for comparison with managed or disturbed northern hardwood stands and as a base line for future observations on the development of virgin stands in the Bowl.

#### References

1. Filip, Stanley M., David A. Marquis, and William B. Leak.

1960. DEVELOPMENT OF OLD-GROWTH NORTHERN HARDWOODS ON BARTLETT EXPERIMENTAL FOREST— A 22-YEAR RECORD. USDA Forest Serv. NE. Forest Exp. Sta. Pap. 135, 7 p.

2. Leak, William B. 1961. DEVELOPMENT OF SECOND-GROWTH NORTHERN HARDWOODS ON BARTLETT EXPERIMENTAL FOREST— A 25-YEAR RECORD. USDA Forest Serv. NE. Forest Exp. Sta. Pap. 155, 8 p.

3. Lyon, Charles J., and F. Herbert Bormann (Editors).

1962. NATURAL AREAS OF NEW HAMPSHIRE SUITABLE FOR ECOLOGICAL RESEARCH. Dartmouth Coll. Dep. Biol. Sci. Publ. 2, 47 p., illus.

#### -W. B. LEAK

USDA Forest Service Northeastern Forest Experiment Station Forestry Sciences Laboratory Durham, New Hampshire

